



*JW*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Darin Trees	)	Group Art Unit:	3764
		)		
For:	Therapeutic Exercise Device	)	Confirmation No.:	6098
		)		
Serial No.:	10/695,117	)	Examiner:	Lori Baker Amerson
		)		
Filed:	October 28, 2003	)		

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on September 6, 2007.

*Donna Guy*  
Donna Guy  
Date of Signature: September 6, 2007

September 6, 2007

Commissioner For Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed is an Amendment/Response to the Office Action that was mailed on August 3, 2007, along with a post card receipt addressed to Applicants' attorney. Please file the Amendment/Response, stamp the postcard with the date of receipt and return it to me. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

*David J. Hill*

David J. Hill  
Attorney for Applicants  
(Registration No. 28,427)  
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.  
1000 Tallan Building  
Two Union Square  
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2500  
(423) 756-3000  
dhill@cbslawfirm.com



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:	Darin Trees	)		
		)		
For:	Therapeutic Exercise Device	)	Conf. No.	6098
		)		
Serial No.:	10/695,117	)	Art Unit:	3764
		)		
Filed:	October 28, 2003	)	Examiner:	Lori Baker Amerson

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

August 28, 2007

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a response to the Office Action that was mailed on August 3, 2007. Claims 1, 3-9, 13-15 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,810,698 of Hullett et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,601,519 of Comerreski, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0043876 of Craciunescu and U.S. Patent No. 4,890,604 of Nelson. Claim 17 has been allowed, and objection has been raised to claims 2, 10-12, 16, 19 and 20 as being dependent on a rejected base claim. Applicant has amended claim 9 to remove a term considered to be indefinite. Applicant has also amended claim 10 (and by dependency therefrom, claim 11) to incorporate therein the limitations of claim 1.

In addition, Applicant offers the following remarks to address the rejections of his claims and respectfully request reconsideration of the application in view of such remarks.